## **Compiler-assisted Code Randomization**

#### Hyungjoon Koo Yaohui Chen Vasileios P. Kemerlis Michalis Polychronakis





Northeastern University



Long Lu

The need for fine-grained code randomization

- Code reuse/ROP has been the de facto exploitation technique after the introduction of W^X memory protections
- ASLR provides insufficient mitigation
  - ✓ Defeated by information leaks
  - $\checkmark$  Fixed relative distances between functions and basic blocks
- Code randomization makes gadget locations unpredictable
- The advanced JIT-ROP exploitation technique can bypass fine-grained code randomization
  - ✓ Recent execute-only memory (XOM) protections prevent JIT-ROP
  - ✓ XOM *relies* on fine-grained code randomization to be effective

### **Motivation**

- Despite decades of research, code randomization has not seen widespread adoption
  - Diversification by end users
    - ✓ Source code level: recompilation
    - ✓ Binary level: static/dynamic binary rewriting
    - ✓ In both cases, the burden is placed on *end users*: responsible for carrying out a complex and cumbersome process
  - Diversification by *software vendors* 
    - ✓ Appstores could deliver a randomized variant to each user
    - ✓ Increased cost for generating (compute power) and distributing (no caching/CDNs) randomized copies

### Motivation: Key Factors (1/3)

#### Key factors for making code randomization practical



# Motivation: Key Factors (2/3)

#### Key factors for making code randomization practical



# Motivation: Key Factors (3/3)

#### Key factors for making code randomization practical

Transparency	Software dis				
Reliability	Binary rewr				
Compatibility	Compatibility Randomized binaries should remain fully functional				
	Software operations	Crash reporting Code signing	Patching Updating		
	Code constructs	Shared object Exception handling Lazy binding Full/partial RELRO	Compiler optimizations Linking time optimizations Position independent code Control flow integrity		

# Prior Works (1/2)

#### Comparison

Research	Needed Information	
Efficient Techniques for Comprehensive Protection (USENIX '05)	Source code	
G-Free (ACSAC '10)	Source code	
ILR (Oakland '12)	Disassembly	
Orp: smashing gadgets (Oakland '12)	Disassembly	
Binary Stirring (CCS '12)	Disassembly	
XIFER: gadge me (CCS '13)	Disassembly, Relocation	
Oxymoron (USENIX '14)	Disassembly	
Readactor (Oakland '15)	Source code	
Shuffler (OSDI '16)	Symbol, Relocation	
Selfrando (PETS '16)*	Relocation, Function boundary	

SoK: Automated software diversity (Oakland '14)

"Naturally, the research in software diversity can be extended; we point out several promising directions. There is currently a lack of research on hybrid approaches combining aspects of compilation and binary rewriting to address practical challenges of current techniques."

#### **Research Question**

Can we achieve the following goal?

<u>Reliably</u> randomize binaries in a <u>transparent</u> way, <u>compatible</u> with existing software

#### **Overview: Compiler-assisted Code Randomization**

#### Compiler-rewriter cooperation



### **Transformation-assisting Metadata**

Precise object boundaries for transformation



### Transformation-assisting Metadata: Code Generation in LLVM Backend (1/2)

MC Framework uses an internal hierarchical structure: Machine Function (MF), Machine Basic Block (MBB), Machine Instruction (MI)



#### Transformation-assisting Metadata: Code Generation in LLVM Backend (2/2)

#### MCAssembler treats code as a series of fragments:

Data Fragment (DF), Relaxable Fragment (RF), Alignment Fragment (AF)

• No high-level structure (MF or MBB)



#### Transformation-assisting Metadata: Tracking Emitted Bytes in the Final Layout (1/3)

- MCAssembler treats code as a series of fragments
  - As layout is being determined, both MBB/MF sizes are decided.



#### Transformation-assisting Metadata: Tracking Emitted Bytes in the Final Layout (2/3)

- MCAssembler treats code as a series of fragments
  - Branch instructions form relaxable fragments (RF).



#### Transformation-assisting Metadata: Tracking Emitted Bytes in the Final Layout (3/3)

- MCAssembler treats code as a series of fragments
  - NOP byte(s) are counted as part of MBB or MF in size.



#### Transformation-assisting Metadata: Fixup Information (1/2)

- Fixup information can be resolved:
  - At compilation time → MISSING
  - At link time  $\rightarrow$  relocations in object files
  - At load time  $\rightarrow$  relocations in final executable



#### Transformation-assisting Metadata: Fixup Information (2/2)

- Fixup information relationships
  - Set A = {Fixups resolved at compilation time}
  - Set B = {Fixups resolved at link time}
  - Set C = {Fixups resolved at load time}



### Metadata Summary

Metadata	Collected Information	Collection time
Layout	Section offset to first object Section offset to main() Total code size for randomization	Linking Linking Linking
Basic Block (BBL)	BBL size (in bytes) BBL boundary type (BBL, FUN, OBJ) Fall-through or not Section name that BBL belongs to	Linking Compilation Compilation Compilation
Fixup	Offset from section base Dereference size Absolute or relative Type (c2c, c2d, d2c, d2d) Section name that fixup belongs to	Linking Compilation Compilation Linking Compilation
Jump Table	Size of each jump table entry Number of jump table entries	Compilation Compilation

### **Metadata Consolidation at Link Time**

- Linker consolidates per-object metadata
  - Constructing the final layout
  - Resolving symbols
  - Updating relocation information
  - Merging/adjusting collected metadata from each object file



# Client-side Randomization (1/2)

#### Binary rewriting at installation time



# **Client-side Randomization (2/2)**

#### Binary rewriting at installation time



### **Evaluation (SPEC2006)**

#### 0.28% runtime overhead on avg., 11.5% inc. in file size



### What we have not talked about

Challenges for enabling robust/practical transformation

- How to handle jump table entries
- Support for various software constructs • JI POIDEIL
  - ✓ Exception handling
  - $\checkmark$  Inline assembly
  - ✓ LTO (Linking time optimization
  - ✓ CFI (Control flow integrity
- Randomization constraints
- Optimized metados serialization
- Implementation pitfalls and current limitations of CCR

#### Wrap-up

- Compiler-assisted Code Randomization
  - Function and *basic block* level permutation
  - Facilitated by *transformation-assisting metadata* stored within augmented executables
  - Transparency, reliability, and compatibility
  - Integration with Apt package manager

#### **Open-source prototype:** https://github.com/kevinkoo001/CCR

#### **Backup: Randomization Constraints**



### **Backup: Jump Table Entry and Metadata**

#### Size of each entry and the # of entries in jump table



### **Backup: Exception Handling**

